The state of Linux tracing

Christoph Hellwig

Kernel Tracing in Linux

- A bit of a troublesome history
- Kernel development is to a large extent driven by the needs of people working on it
- Otherwise good arguments and really good code are needed
 - Tracing historically failled on both accounts

Kernel Tracing in Linux

- A lot of tracing work has traditionall been done outside of the mainline Linux ecosystem
 - .. and still is
- That fade is shared with a lot of RAS infrastructure

Kernel Tracing in Linux – early history

- About 10 years ago the first serious tracing systems for Linux showed up:
 - IBM's dprobes for dynamic tracing
 - The original LTT for static tracing

LTT / LTTng

- LTT came from the embedded and realtime community
 - Support tracing by adding static trace points to the kernel
- After a major overhaul evolved into LTTng in 2005

Dprobes

- Adoption of the OS/2 tracing framework to Linux
- For both kernel and userspace tracing
- Uses a C-like scripting language to write probes
 - Gets compiled to bytecode and interpreted by the kernel
 - Relatively unstructured, large amount of kernel code



- The reception was rather luke warm:
 - Linux favours incremental feature development
 - Still not many developers convinced of the advantages of tracing
 - Some influential developers did not like the byte code interpretation in kernel space

Kprobes

- First attempt at modularizing dprobes in 2002
- Simple kernel facility to execute code when the kernel execution hits breakpoints
- Kprobes got merged into the mainline kernel
 - But almost no users (only tcp/dccp probes)
- Most later tracing technologies build ontop of kprobes

Systemtap

- A project for scripted dynamic tracing
 - Started in 2005
- Compiles scripts into kernel modules (C code)
 - Has all problems of external kernel modules
- Relies heavily on debug information
 - Allows for very flexible instrumentation
 - Which require a lot of space

Ftrace

- Appeared on the scene in 2008
 - Initially started out as a latency tracer for real time linux
 - Incorporated a ring-buffer from an earlier simple tracer from Steve Rosted
- Ftrace now is a framework for different tracers:
 - Function tracer, function graph tracers, ...

Ftrace event tracer

- In 2009 a new ftrace EVENT tracer appeared
 - Allows to embedd static tracepoints into the kernel source
 - Very similar model to LTT/LTTng
 - Nicer kernel instrumentation
 - Very simple ASCII interface

State of the Union – Kernel tracing

- Ftrace with various subtracers is in the kernel tree
 - Used a lot by kernel developers
- LTTng is an out of tree kernel patch
 - Used a lot by embedded Linux projects
- Systemtap is an out of tree kernel module generator
 - Used heavily by Red Hat and other Enterprise distributions

Ftrace event tracer vs LTTng

- The Ftrace event tracer and LTTng provide the same high level functionality:
 - Should be able to share the same in-kernel instrumentation (TRACE_EVENT)
 - Ftrace provides an easy to use text interface for developers – missing in LTTng
 - LTTng provides a mature binary interface for tracing tools – ftrace has a immature binary interface
- The core ring buffer is implemented differently
 - Ftrace uses one ring buffer for all tracers

Ftrace event tracer vs LTTng

- Many kernel developers would like to see a combination of the ftrace even tracer and LTTng
 - Use the TRACE_EVENT kernel instrumentation
 - Support the ftrace text output
 - Support the LTTng binary output and tools using it
- Filtering features in the even tracer still need better user interfaces
 - Something like the zedtrace perl interface

Systemtap vs the rest

- Does not integrate very well with the static tracers
 - Can't be used to add events to the LTTng or ftrace ring buffers
 - Can use existing trace points and similar markers to probe at a specific place

Userspace tracing

- Always under-represented
 - Kernel hackers first care for kernel tracing :)
- Both dprobes and LTT also supporte some sort of user tracing
- LTT supported adding events from userspace via writing to a device file
 - Very slow
 - Requires a lot support from the application

Userspace tracing – dprobes / uprobes

- Dprobes used to support tracing user space applications
 - The implementation was rather problematic
- Got factored into uprobes later
 - Kprobes like model
 - Used by Systemtap
 - No good direct kernel interface

Userspace tracing – LTTng

 There is a port of LTTng to userspace in progress

Does not require any kernel support

User space tracing – ptrace / utrace

- Ptrace is the traditional Unix debug interface
 - Not directly related to tracing despite the name
 - Very ugly interface
- Utrace is a new core infrastructrure for perprocess debuging
 - Does not implement any tracing directly, but required for the systemtap userspace probes

User space tracing – gdb tracepoints

- GDB does ptrace, so all this work will inherit the ptrace problems
 - Can we help the GDB people with better kernel support for tracepoints?
 - How to replace ptrace as an interface for GDB? Frank's in-kernel gdbstub?

Questions?

Thanks for your attention!